Mapping laws relevant to online violence in Nepal

This is a policy mapping and review of laws that are relevant to online violence within the scope of digital rights in Nepal, with a focus on gender and sexuality. Three human rights lenses-Right to Privacy, Freedom of Expression and Freedom from Violence—are used to analyze relevant laws. This document is meant to be a condensed guide for victims, legal practitioners and activists.

Paradoxical framing

Many of these laws have a dual framing: the benefits appear to come with strings attached. While they have aspects that victims of online violence can use to seek justice, additional clauses have the potential to be misused for restricting civil liberties. This is a recurring theme across most of the laws cited here.





Body & Data is a digital rights organization in Nepal that aims to increase women and queer persons' engagement in digital spaces through suitable strategies for expression, autonomy and agency.

Find the full report on our website: https://bodyanddata.org/

Timeline

Electronic Transaction Act 2008

Sweeping powers for the government

The law doesn't mention due process such as court order or legal aid for person being searched. Who is suspected (as grounds for a search) is left to the discretion of the Controller. Those in power can use this law to violate privacy of critics and stifle dissent.

Problems gathering evidence

Perpetrators are unlikely to give consent to victims to record communications between them. Where victims are harassed through online communication, this law may make it possible to criminalize the victims themselves for recording evidence.

Section 16.1

such materials.

Section 16.3

or gain profit.

Prohibits photography without

person's character or prestige, or

photo manipulation with intent

to defame, or the publicizing of

Prohibits publishing, sharing

intention to annoy, distress or

take any improper advantage,

and trading pictures taken

without consent, with the

consent done to damage

Criminal Code 2017

parts or acting 'obscenely' or talking 'vulgar' in public.

permission, including private information on public figures unless compelled by law.

Obtaining and transferring any notice, information or correspondence restricted

Section 293 Restriction in showing private Prohibits listening or

recording of others' conversation without the permission of the competent authority or consent of involved persons, unless for any statement that is publicly made.

Section 295 Prohibits photographing or disfiguring photographs of a person outside of a public space without their consent.

Section 306

Criminalizes defamation through

any medium, that harms reputation of living or deceased person or hurts feelings of their family/relatives. However, exceptions are made for any expression done with evidence, for public good, to caution concerned person, to criticize those with authority, or as part of investigation.

Section 294

Prohibits disclosing private information without permission, including private information on public figures unless compelled by law.

IT Bill 2019 ★

Section 76.1 Restriction in acquiring individual's data unless provided by law.

Section 76.2 Privacy of conversation between two or more parties held through electronic medium assured unless consent given to record, listen or (de)encrypt.

Section 83 Cyber bullying is defined as continually harassing, teasing, demeaning, discouraging, insulting or scolding someone.

Section 86

Production, collection, distribution, publication, exhibition, transmission or purchase/sale of pornographic materials is punishable.

Privacy Act 2018

Section 4.2 Privacy between husband and wife inviolable except where necessary if case ongoing between husband and wife.

Section 12.6 Authorized official may make induiries into issues such as age, qualification, character, sexuality, disability of any person; concerned person must oblige.

Section 15.2

No person shall make any comments on the character of any person except when law is required to inquire.

Section 88.1

Restriction of electronic media usage that attacks national sovereignty, integrity, nationality and brings hatred, mistrust among communities.

that incites discrimination or is against public conduct or morality.

Section 87 No one shall use electronic medium or establish online relation with an intention of sexually exploitating or carrying out illegal activities.

Section 91

Social network sites will be non-functional/banned in Nepal if they are not registered at Department of Information Technology.

Arbitrary removal of content on social sites by Department of Information and Technology on the basis of vague limitations and expansive terms such as "offensive content".

Section 224

Sexual harassment is touching or trying to touch others' private parts without consent or due intention of rape, or directing another person towards private space, making them touch their sexual organs, communicating obscene matters verbally,

written or any other form.

Section 306

Criminalizes defamation through any medium, that harms reputation of living or deceased person or hurts feelings of their family/relatives. However, exceptions are made for any expression done with evidence, for public good, to caution concerned person, to criticize those with authority, or as part of investigation.

Act Against Domestic Violence, 2009

'Domestic Violence" is physical, mental, sexual and economic harm perpetrated by a person on another with whom he/she has a family relationship.

Sexual harassment at workplace prevention act. 2015

Section 4.b Using or displaying obscene or sexual content or expressing obscene thoughts by speaking, writing or through body language is form of violence.

Cyber bullying is defined as continually harassing, teasing, insulting or scolding someone.

Section 86 Production, collection, distribution, publication, exhibition, transmission or purchase/sale of pornographic materials is punishable.

Act Against Domestic Violence 2009

Criminal Code, 2017 Sexual Violence at Workplace Prevention Act 2015 Constitution of Nepal 2015

IT Bill 2019

Privacy Act 2018

2020

Section 92

Section 88.2 Restriction of electronic media usage

Section 83 demeaning, discouraging,

How to read this chart:

Each solid box represents a bill or law.

ellow highlights identify ambiguous words. Light blue identifies mentions of intent.

Inside each box are summarized versions of relevant sections.



Where applicable, arrows connect pecific laws and sections to the pitfalls identified in them.

Exterior text...

...elaborates pitfalls in the laws.

Section 3.2

Anyone's privacy shall be inviolable without the consent of the person concerned, except for health treatment or relief work.

Section 6

Information related to reproductive health and pregnancy of every woman shall be inviolable, except for underage person and those of "unsound" mind.

> Section 15.2 No person shall make any comments on the character of any person except when law is

required to inquire.

Section 16.3

Prohibits publishing, sharing and trading pictures taken without consent, with the intention to annoy, distress or take any improper advantage, or gain profit.

Section 16.1

Prohibits photography without consent done to damage person's character or prestige, or photo manipulation with intent to defame, or the publicizing of such materials.



The term "character" is a vague and loaded term often used to control and question the sexuality of women and queer persons. This law also provides information pertaining to reproductive health and pregnancy to their husband and family, which can further put them at risk of violence. The law is ageist and abelist and does not respect autonomy of individuals to understand their bodies.

Ambiguity

- "obscenity" 'vulgar" "decency "integrity"
- "social harmony" "public morality" "public conduct" "national sovereignity"

The laws contain terms such as these, which are then left undefined. This ambiguity gives those in power ample space for interpretation when using these laws against the marginalised, further putting them at risk and curbing dissent. Many of these are also morally loaded terms that promote moral policing rather than unbiased prosecution of crime.

Proving Intent

The Criminal Code and the IT Bill have articles that hinge criminality on the intent of the perpetrator. This provides a loophole for caused was not their intent. Burden of proof is also generally placed on victims. The law is unclear on how to prove intent. It allows authorities to decide the purpose of certain actions, leaving space for abuse of power.

Freedom of Expression

Right to freedom of expression is curtailed in the name of national security and social harmony. Political satire and voices that oppose power could be restricted. This poses a risk for activism. Sexual expression is also curbed under the premise of public morality or decency. Asides from direct curbing of rights, loopholes in privacy mean that citizens feel greater surveillance from the state, and thus are not able to express their opinion freely.

Consent missing



Consent between and of the individual(s) involved are not regarded in framing of the law and its implementation. It implies (all) sexual content to be 'obscene' and does not respect the agency of an individual over their own bodies and criminalises sexual expression.

Potential backfire on victims

Many of the laws criminalize sexual expression, labelling it obscene or immoral even if created through the individual's choice. By not acknowledging consent, the laws create a blanket rule that can be misused to punish victims if their privacy is violated online and their private expressions exposed. The laws may harm the victim instead of punishing the perpetrator.

While the IT Bill is a proposed document, we have included it here because of its importance in public discussion on legal measures for online violence.